



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,922	09/09/2003	John W. Lehmann	21819-56CON2	5089	
31292	7590 01/18/2005		EXAMINER		
CHRISTOPHER & WEISBERG, P.A.			GIBSON, ROY DEAN		
	AS OLAS BOULEVAR	D.	ARTIBUT	DADED MUDADED	
SUITE 2040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT LAUI	DERDALE, FL 33301		3739	3739	

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/657,922		LEHMANN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Roy D. Gibson		3739				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, he n. a reply within the statutory eriod will apply and will exp tatute, cause the applicatio	owever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from the on to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			1					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>G</u>	09 September 2003	<u>!</u> .					
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ .	This action is non-f	inal.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>24-39</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>24-39</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consid						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		•				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	=					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bussee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been re nents have been re priority documents ureau (PCT Rule 17	eceived. eceived in Application have been receive 7.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachmen		1		(DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	4)   3)	Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Sign No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3739

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Formal Matters**

The examiner noted there are two claims numbered 34. Therefore, the second claim 34 through claim 38 have been renumbered as claims 35-39.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 24-39 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,629,972. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are merely broader.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3739

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 24 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grace (5,651,781). Grace discloses a medical device comprising:

an elongate member (108) having a longitudinal axis and a thermally-transmissive region (Figure 8, approximately the regions with the element no, 126) having at least one substantially rigid bellows-shaped thermally-transmissive element (146 and col. 6, lines 20-67) and, wherein inherently the at least one substantially rigid bellows-shaped thermally transmissive element retains enough stiffness to retain a selected shape after bending.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy D. Gibson whose telephone number is 571-272-4767. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,922

Art Unit: 3739

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roy D. Øibson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

January 13, 2005